

United States Patent and Trademark Office

On

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	TILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,794	09/496,794 02/02/2000		John T. Moore	MICT-0005-D1-US	6700	
21906	7590	11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
TROP PRI	JNER &	HU, PC	OWENS, DOUGLAS W			
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750 HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
11005101	, 121 //	3, 2031		2811		
				DATE MAILED: 11/14/200	DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
de la companya de la	09/496,794	MOORE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
,	Douglas W. Owens	2811				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Au	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>22 August 2006</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
`4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-30</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers		· ,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	atent Application				

Application/Control Number: 09/496,794

Art Unit: 2811

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 26 and 28 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Application Publication No. 2002/0004285 to Wu.

Regarding claim 26, Wu teaches a semiconductor structure (Figs. 1 – 8), comprising:

a support (2);

a first material (4) deposited on the support, the first material being a dielectric having a first etch rate;

a trench (10) formed through the first material and into the support; and a trench filler material (16) deposited in the trench, the trench filler material having an etch rate that is substantially similar to the first etch rate, since the material is identical (¶ [0024] and [0029]).

Wu does not explicitly teach an intermediate structure, as claimed, wherein the structure has a planar upper surface comprising the first material and trench filler material. Wu teaches a step of performing a CMP process (¶ [0030]), wherein the

Application/Control Number: 09/496,794

Art Unit: 2811

trench filler and first material are planarized with the first material being completely removed at the end of the planarization process, as shown in Fig. 1F of the instant application. The process of performing the CMP would have inherently resulted in an intermediate structure, with a planar surface comprising the trench filler and first material, since the surface would have been polished down by a planar tool.

Regarding claim 28, Wu teaches a device, wherein the first material includes silicon dioxide deposited by CVD (¶ [0024])

Regarding claim 29, Wu teaches a device, wherein the trench filler material includes silicon dioxide from TEOS (¶ [0029]).

Regarding claim 30, Wu teaches a device, wherein the trench filler material includes CVD silicon dioxide (¶ [0029])

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wu.

Wu does not teach a device, wherein the first material includes a TEOS silicon dioxide or an oxide from a silane and oxygen system. Silicon dioxide from tetraethylorthosilicate and silane and oxygen systems are known reliable oxide sources. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 09/496,794 Page 4

Art Unit: 2811

was made to select one of these sources, since it is desirable to use methods and sources of oxide that are known to be reliable for forming high quality oxides.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 26 – 30 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas W. Owens whose telephone number is 571-272-1662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard T. Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Donglas W. Omen Douglas W Owens

Application/Control Number: 09/496,794

Art Unit: 2811

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2811

DWO November 10, 2006 Page 5